





UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/755,060	01/08/2001	Jill E. Wood	BAYER-I DI	8038
	590 11/27/2001			
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C. 2200 CLARENDON BLVD. SUITE 1400			EXAMINER	
			HIGEL, FLOYD D	
ARLINGTON, VA 22201			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1626	
			DATE MAILED: 11/27/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No. Applicant(s)
09/155,000 JILL B. WOOD B.T AL

Examiner
FLOYD D, HICK 1626

Claim(s) _ _ is/are withdrawn from consideration. Of the above claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s). _ is/are rejected. Claim(s). _ is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s). ☐ Claim(s)are subject to restriction or election requirement. **Application Papers** ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948. ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on _______ is ☐ approved ☐ disapproved. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on_____ ___ is/are objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 11 9(a)-(d). ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of the priority documents have been □ received. ☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number)_ ☐ received in this national stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 1 7.2(a)). *Certified copies not received:_ Attachment(s) Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s). _ ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office

PTO-326 (Pex 3-87)

☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892

☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-948

*U.S. Government Printing Office: 1997 -- 417-376/5030

Office Action Summary

Part of Paper No. 8

☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152

□ Other

Application/Control Number: 09/755,060

Page 2

Art Unit: 1626

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321© may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 18 to 30 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1 to 18 of U.S. Patent No. 6,187,799.

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because there is a huge overlap between the claims of the instant application and those of the patent.

No claim is allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Floyd D. Higel at telephone number (703) 308-4530.

The fax number for this unit is (703) 308-7922.

Higel:mv

November 16, 2001

The D. A. gol FLOYD D. HIGEL

PATENT PRIMARY EXAMINEF

ART UNIT 425/628